

# Delivery Toolkit – Supplier Performance Evaluation

<b>Project:</b>	<b>Project Manager:</b>									
<b>Date:</b>	<b>Page:</b>									
<b>Supplier:</b>	<b>Contract Ref:</b>									
<b>Required supply</b>										
<b>Supplier performance assessment</b>										
<b>Performance area</b>	<b>Ranking</b>									
<b>Project management and control</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Non existent or inadequate project management</li> <li>» No evidence of formal controls in place</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Project manager identified</li> <li>» Simple project plan in place, no evidence of update/use</li> <li>» Reliance on individuals rather than process</li> <li>» Haphazard controls</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Project manager and team identified</li> <li>» Project plan in place but limited evidence of update/use</li> <li>» Project team managed through meetings – no use of tools</li> <li>» Inconsistent change control</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Project manager has formal ownership of project and team</li> <li>» Single point of accountability for decisions</li> <li>» Formal project management processes followed</li> <li>» Rigorous change control</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Full and accountable project management process</li> <li>» Detailed and controlled processes</li> <li>» Full visibility of progress, issues and changes</li> </ul>					
<b>Score</b>										
<b>Communications</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Difficult to contact or obtain a response</li> <li>» Evidence of poor internal comms</li> <li>» Response regularly inadequate</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Regular comms but often incomplete</li> <li>» Response to queries inconsistent</li> <li>» Reactive</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Fairly rapid response to queries</li> <li>» Generally complete responses, but clarification often required</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Effective comms and relationships</li> <li>» Generally proactive and complete responses</li> <li>» Little clarification required</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Excellent, open relationship</li> <li>» Complete response to queries</li> <li>» Pro-active and anticipates issues</li> </ul>					
<b>Score</b>										
<b>Flexibility</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Inflexible and reliant on contract</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Some willingness to be flexible, but only short-term</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Willing to be flexible around project demands over medium term</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» High degree of flexibility around project and contract matters</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Completely open and flexible – joint partnering focussed on project</li> </ul>					
<b>Score</b>										
<b>Capability</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Inadequate capability</li> <li>» Consistently missing critical deadlines or milestones</li> <li>» Multiple design or production errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Poor capability</li> <li>» Some missing of critical deadlines or milestones</li> <li>» Design or production errors not satisfactory</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Satisfactory capability</li> <li>» Almost no missing of critical milestones or deadlines</li> <li>» Design or production errors not critical</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Good capability</li> <li>» No missing of critical milestones or deadlines</li> <li>» Virtually no design or production errors</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Excellent capability</li> <li>» No missing of any project milestones or deadlines</li> <li>» No design or production errors</li> </ul>					
<b>Score</b>										
<b>Delivery</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Frequently capacity constrained resulting in significant schedule problems</li> <li>» Expediting regularly required</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Some capacity constraints with some impact on schedule.</li> <li>» Some expediting required</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Generally unconstrained and able to meet schedule</li> <li>» Limited expediting required</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Regular deliveries on schedule</li> <li>» Limited capacity to reschedule to meet project changes</li> <li>» Little or no expediting required</li> </ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>» Established track record of deliveries</li> <li>» Capacity to reschedule to meet project changes</li> <li>» No expediting required</li> </ul>					
<b>Score</b>										

© 2009 Trish Melton



[www.elsevierdirect.com](http://www.elsevierdirect.com)

Managing Project Delivery

ISBN: 978-0-7506-8515-3

[www.mimesolutions.com](http://www.mimesolutions.com)

**IChemE**  
Institution of Chemical Engineers

[www.icmeh.org](http://www.icmeh.org)